



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,688	09/28/2000	Naoki Inoue	SON-1900	7234	
23872	7590 03/09/2006		EXAMINER		
MCGLEW & P.O. BOX 922	TUTTLE, PC		VENT, J	AMIE J	
SCARBOROUGH STATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCARBOROU	SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227			2616	
			DATE MAILED: 03/09/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/671,688	INOUE ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jamie Vent	2616			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 December</u> 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement. r. epted or b) □ objected to by the today drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 6, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. On pages 5-8 applicant argues that Nakagawa in view of Elberbaum et al fails to disclose the following limitation "wherein each of said rotary shafts is individually attached to the opposite ends of said sub-chassis along a longitudinal axis of said pair of rotary shafts and said base plate assembly rotates axially about each rotary shaft" as recited in Claim 1. It is noted in Figures 1 and 3 that the rotary shafts are shown individually attached to the body of the camera and as described in Column 2 Lines 42+. Furthermore, Figure 7a and 7b shows the rotary shafts individually attached to the body of the camera and is further described in Column 7 Lines 10-55. Additionally, it is noted that the sub-chassis and rotary shafts are "swingably attached in a longitudinal axis" which allows for tilting and movement of the camera as described in Column 7 Lines 38-46 and Column 1 Lines 45+ and thereby meeting the limitation. Although, all of applicants points are understood the examiner can not agree.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakagawa et al (EP 0 851 422) in view of Elberbaum et al (US 6,628,338).

[claim 1]

In regard to Claim 1 Nakagawa discloses an optical disc camcorder (Figure 1) comprising:

- A base plate assembly (Figure 8 shows base plate);
- A rotary shaft (Figure 1 shows the rotary shaft 52);
- a camcorder main body having an internal sub-chassis (Figure 1 element
 2 shows the camcorder main body as further described in Column 3 Lines
 5-6 additionally as seen in Figure 8 wherein the base plate is attached to the sub-chassis of the camcorder main body and thereby meeting the limitation);
- wherein said base plate assembly is mounted on said sub-chassis (Figure 8 shows a base plate wherein it is mounted through attachment to a subchassis)
- wherein a weight is attached to a first portion of said base plate assembly so that the center of gravity of said base plate assembly is shifted towards the first portion (Figure 8 shows a the weight attached to the base plate for the shifting of the camcorder toward the center of gravity as further described in Column 7 Lines 49+ through Column 8 lines 1-20); however, fails to discloses

a pair of rotary shafts wherein each of said rotary shafts is attached to the
camcorder main body and individually attached to opposite ends of said
sub-chassis along a longitudinal axis, and said base plate assembly is
swing able attached along a longitudinal axis of said pair of rotary shaft so
that said base plates rotates axially about each rotary shaft

Elberbaum et al discloses a camera support system wherein rotary shafts are attached to the body of the camera at opposite ends of the base plate to allow for the camera to have a swinging motion as further seen in Figures 1 and 3 and described in Column 2 Lines 42+. The rotary shafts which attach the camera at opposite ends of the base plate allows for the camera to freely move in a tilting manner and thereby allowing the camera to have a greater range and usefulness. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the camcorder system, as disclosed by Nakagawa, and incorporate rotary shafts to allow for movement of the camera, as disclosed by Elberbaum et al.

[claim 2]

In regard to Claim 2, Nakagawa, discloses an optical disc camcorder comprising a locking mechanism to secure the base plate assembly to the optical disc camcorder is shown as an objective lens (Figures 1 and 8 show the locking mechanism that is further described in Column 7 Lines 57-58).

[claim 3]

In regard to Claim 3, Nakagawa, discloses a stopper means for restricting the range of movement of the base plate and absorbing shock is shown in fig.8 as a focusing coil

and tracking coil by way of the magnetic circuit that is formed which is able to restrict movement (Column 8 Lines 3-20 describe the restricting the range of the base plate as further seen in Figure 8 wherein the focusing coil 54a and tracking coil 55a restrict the movement).

[claim 4]

In regard to Claim 4, Nakagawa discloses a system as previously discussed in independent Claim 1, with the additional limitation of the base plate assembly is provided with an acceleration sensor for detecting of acceleration performed by said base plate assembly and rotation drive mechanism for causing said base plate assembly to be rotated compulsorily in the periphery of each rotary in response to the value detected by said acceleration sensor (Figure 1 shows the tracking coil (55a) whereby the rotation of the base plate assembly is detected and corrected so as to provide an optimal display which is impact and vibration proof as further described in Column 8 Lines 1-20)

[claim 5]

In regard to Claim 5, Nakagawa discloses a system as previously discussed in independent Claim 1, with the additional limitation of the optical disc is further provided with a skew sensor for detecting skew and a skew correcting mechanism for rotating said sub-base in an axial direction about each rotary axial shaft (Figure 8 shows the skew sensor and focusing coil (54a) wherein adjustments are made by the focusing coil as further described in Column 8 Lines 1-20).

[claim 6]

In regard to Claim 6, Nakagawa discloses a system comprising a rotary shaft for correcting skew at the end point of said turn table (Figure 8 shows the guide shaft (39_ which is used to correct skew as further described in Column 7 Lines 1-18).

[claim 7]

In regard to Claim 7, Nakagawa discloses an optical disc camcorder where the skew correcting mechanism controls a position of said optical pickup system so as not to come into contact with an optical disc (Column 7 Lines 1-18 describes the position that is shown of the optical pick up system which controlled so as to not come into contact with an optical disc, which is considered a non- contact state).

[claim 8]

In regard to Claim 8, Elderbaum et al discloses an optical disc camcorder wherein the base plate assembly rotates about pair of axial shafts so that the base plate assembly is inclined a first direction when the camcorder main body is inclined in a second direction wherein the first direction is a direction inverse to the second direction (Figure 1 shows the base plate assembly 4 which rotates about pair of axial shafts 2 and 3. and thereby allows various directions of the camera to be turned and tilted as further described in Column 2 Lines 39+)

[claim 9]

In regard to Claim 9, Nakagawa discloses an optical camcorder wherein the first portion of the base plate assembly is located below said pair of rotary shafts so that the base plate assembly freely rotates about the pair of rotary shafts to preserve a constant posture based on the position of the center of gravity of the base plate assembly relative

to said pair of rotary shafts (Column 1 Lines 47+ describes the free movements of the rotary shafts that allows constant movement of the camera and thereby meets the limitation.)

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jamie Vent whose telephone number is 571-272-7384. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mehrdad Dastouri can be reached on 571-272-7418. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/671,688

Art Unit: 2616

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jamie Vent

MEHRDAD DASTOUR! SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Clehrdad Daston